

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-10-00015-CR

JOHN T. WILLIAMS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 252nd District Court
Jefferson County, Texas
Trial Cause No. 09-05422**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

After a plea bargain agreement, appellant John T. Williams pled guilty to unauthorized use of a motor vehicle. The trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Williams guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Williams on community supervision for two years, and assessed a fine of \$500. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Williams's unadjudicated community supervision. Williams pled "true" to one violation of the conditions of his community supervision. The trial court found that Williams had violated the conditions of his community supervision, found Williams

guilty of unauthorized use of a motor vehicle, and assessed punishment at two years of confinement in a state jail facility.

Williams's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On March 18, 2010, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from appellant. We have reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.¹

AFFIRMED.

DAVID GAULTNEY
Justice

Submitted on August 5, 2010
Opinion Delivered August 18, 2010
Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.

¹Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.