

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-10-00055-CR

GREGORY TODD HAWKINS a/k/a GREGORY HAWKINS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 252nd District Court
Jefferson County, Texas
Trial Cause No. 08-04888

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, Gregory Todd Hawkins a/k/a Gregory Hawkins pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance. The trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Hawkins guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Hawkins on community supervision for five years, and assessed a fine of \$250. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Hawkins's unadjudicated community supervision. Hawkins pled "true" to three violations of the conditions of his community supervision. The trial court found that Hawkins violated the conditions of his community supervision,

found Hawkins guilty of possession of a controlled substance, and assessed punishment at twenty years of confinement.

Hawkins's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On July 15, 2010, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from appellant. We reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.¹

AFFIRMED.

HOLLIS HORTON
Justice

Submitted on October 15, 2010
Opinion Delivered October 27, 2010
Do Not Publish

Before Gaultney, Kreger, and Horton, JJ.

¹Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.