

**In The**  
***Court of Appeals***  
***Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont***

---

**NO. 09-10-00076-CV**

---

**IN RE JEFFREY ALAN JAGNEAUX**

---

---

**Original Proceeding**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Jeffrey Alan Jagneaux filed a document entitled “Writ of Habeas Corpus Seeking Mandamus Relief from Excessive Bail,” and we docketed original proceeding No. 09-10-00076-CV and requested clarification concerning whether Jagneaux intended to file a notice of appeal or a petition for writ of mandamus. On March 10, 2010, Jagneaux also filed a document entitled “‘Notice of Appeal’ Seeking Relief From Excessive Bail.” After ordering the trial court to forward copies of the notice of appeal for filing in trial cause numbers 09-07616, 09-07758, and 10-08108, we docketed appeal number 09-10-00160-CR, *Ex parte Jeffrey Jagneaux*.

Jagneaux has not demonstrated that he is entitled to mandamus relief from this Court. *See State ex rel. Hill v. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Dist.*, 34 S.W.3d 924, 927 (Tex. Crim. App. 2001) (To demonstrate entitlement to a writ of mandamus, a relator

must establish that the trial court failed to perform a ministerial duty, and that relator has no other adequate legal remedy.); *Ex parte Williams*, 200 S.W.3d 819, 820 n.1 (Tex. App.--Beaumont 2006, no pet.) (“In appropriate situations, mandamus may lie to compel a trial court to act on a petition for writ of habeas corpus.”). Accordingly, we deny relief on the petition for writ of mandamus.

PETITION DENIED.

PER CURIAM

Opinion Delivered May 20, 2010

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.