In The

Court of Appeals

Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

_____

NO. 09-10-00121-CR
_____

JERRON EDWARD BOLDEN, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the Criminal District Court
Jefferson County, Texas
Trial Cause No. 08-04747

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Jerron Edward Bolden pled guilty to deadly conduct. The trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Bolden guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Bolden on community supervision for ten years, ordered Bolden to pay restitution of $800, and assessed a fine of $750. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Bolden's unadjudicated community supervision. Bolden pled "true" to five violations of the conditions of his community supervision. The

1

trial court found that Bolden violated the conditions of his community supervision, found

Bolden guilty of deadly conduct, and assessed punishment at six years of confinement.

Bolden's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional

evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*,

386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex.

Crim. App. 1978). On July 29, 2010, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file

a *pro se* brief. We received no response from appellant. We reviewed the appellate

record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal.

Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the

appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We

affirm the trial court's judgment.[1]

AFFIRMED.

$$\overline{}$$
CHARLES KREGER
Justice

Submitted on December 13, 2010
Opinion Delivered 22, 2010
Do not publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.

---

[1] Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.