

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-11-00215-CR

**ANDREW MURIEL JOHNSON A/K/A
ANDREW MURIEL JOHNSON, JR., Appellant**

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 252nd District Court
Jefferson County, Texas
Trial Cause No. 10-10046**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pursuant to a plea agreement, appellant Andrew Muriel Johnson¹ pled guilty to the offense of burglary of a building. The trial court concluded the evidence was sufficient to find Johnson guilty, but deferred further proceedings, and placed him on unadjudicated community supervision for ten years. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Johnson's community supervision. Johnson pled "true" to three violations of the terms of

¹ Andrew Muriel Johnson is also known as Andrew Murel Johnson, and Andrew Muriel Johnson, Jr.

his community supervision. The trial court found that Johnson violated the terms of the community supervision order, found Johnson guilty of burglary of a building, revoked Johnson's community supervision, and imposed a sentence of ten years of confinement.

Johnson's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes there are no arguable points of error. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On June 23, 2011, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from the appellant.

We have reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.²

AFFIRMED.

CHARLES KREGER
Justice

Submitted on September 28, 2011
Opinion Delivered October 5, 2011
Do not publish

Before Gaultney, Kreger, and Horton, JJ.

² Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.