

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-11-00348-CR

IN RE JOSEPH HERMAN BRADFORD

Original Proceeding

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Joseph Herman Bradford filed a petition for writ of mandamus, in which he apparently seeks an order compelling the trial court to rule on the merits of his petition for a writ of habeas corpus. In his petition, Bradford asserts that the trial court denied his petition without reference to the merits.¹

We may grant mandamus relief only if the relator demonstrates that the act sought to be compelled is purely ministerial, and that the relator has no other adequate legal remedy. *See State ex rel. Hill v. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Dist.*, 34 S.W.3d 924, 927

¹ Bradford previously filed an appeal of the trial court's denial of his petition, and we dismissed his appeal for lack of jurisdiction. *Ex parte Bradford*, No. 09-11-00182-CR, 2011 WL 2420993, at *1 (Tex. App.—Beaumont June 15, 2011, no pet. h.). In addition, Bradford filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus with this Court, in which he argued that his bond was excessive, and we dismissed his petition for lack of jurisdiction. *Ex parte Bradford*, No. 09-11-00144-CR, 2011 WL 1639267, at *1 (Tex. App.—Beaumont Apr. 27, 2011, no pet.).

(Tex. Crim. App. 2001). Bradford has not shown that he is entitled to the relief sought.

Accordingly, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus.

PETITION DENIED.

PER CURIAM

Opinion Delivered July 13, 2011

Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.