In The

Court of Appeals

Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-11-00480-CR

IN RE DOUGLAS ALAN BURDEN

Original Proceeding

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Relator Douglas Alan Burden filed a writ of mandamus, in which he complains that in his underlying criminal case, the trial court entered a defective certification and failed to correct its certification. Because the information received from the trial court in Burden's underlying criminal case indicated that the case was a plea bargain and did not involve revocation of community supervision, this Court issued an opinion dismissing Burden's appeal for want of jurisdiction on August 7, 2003. *See Burden v. State*, No. 09-03-284-CR, 2003 WL 21831899, at *1 (Tex. App.—Beaumont Aug. 7, 2003, no pet.) (not designated for publication). The trial court's only duty thereafter was to enforce our mandate, which issued on October 21, 2003. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 51.2.

We may grant mandamus relief only if the relator demonstrates that the act sought to be compelled is purely ministerial, and that the relator has no other adequate legal remedy. See State ex rel. Hill v. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Dist., 34 S.W.3d 924, 927

(Tex. Crim. App. 2001). Burden has not shown that he is entitled to the relief sought.

See id. Accordingly, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus.

In addition, we addressed this issue in two prior petitions for writ of mandamus

filed by Burden. In re Burden, No. 09-09-00403-CV, 2009 WL 3030335, at *1 (Tex.

App.—Beaumont Sept. 24, 2009, orig. proceeding) (mem. op.); In re Burden, No. 09-08-

00228-CV, 2008 WL 2369137, at *1 (Tex. App.—Beaumont June 12, 2008, orig.

proceeding) (mem. op.). Burden raises no new issues or arguments in this proceeding.

We therefore find that this proceeding is frivolous. See Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code

Ann. § 11.054(1)(C) (West 2002).

PETITION DENIED.

PER CURIAM

Opinion Delivered August 31, 2011

Do Not Publish

Before Gaultney, Kreger, and Horton, JJ.

2