

COURT OF APPEALS SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH

NO. 02-10-00517-CR

Elias James Murrell	§	From the 297th District Court
	§	of Tarrant County (1103962D)
V.	§	November 15, 2012
	§	Opinion by Justice Meier
The State of Texas	§	(nfp)
JUDGMENT		
This court has considered the record on appeal in this case and holds that		
there was no error in the trial court's judgment. It is ordered that the judgment of		
the trial court is affirmed.		
SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS		
	By	e Bill Meier



COURT OF APPEALS

SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH

NO. 02-10-00517-CR

ELIAS JAMES MURRELL

APPELLANT

٧.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

STATE

FROM THE 297TH DISTRICT COURT OF TARRANT COUNTY

MEMORANDUM OPINION1

A jury convicted Appellant Elias James Murrell of aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon and assessed his punishment at nineteen years' confinement. Murrell's court-appointed appellate counsel has filed a motion to withdraw as counsel and a brief in support of that motion. Counsel avers that in his professional opinion, the appeal is frivolous. Counsel's brief and motion meet the requirements of *Anders v. California* by presenting a professional evaluation of

2

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds for relief. See 386

U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396 (1967). This court informed Murrell that he may file a

pro se brief, and he did so. The State declined to submit a brief in response to

the *Anders* brief or to Murrell's brief.

Once an appellant's court-appointed attorney files a motion to withdraw on

the ground that the appeal is frivolous and fulfills the requirements of *Anders*, this

court is obligated to undertake an independent examination of the record. See

Stafford v. State, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); Mays v. State,

904 S.W.2d 920, 922–23 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1995, no pet.). Only then may

we grant counsel's motion to withdraw. See Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75, 82-

83, 109 S. Ct. 346, 351 (1988).

We have carefully reviewed the record, Murrell's brief, and counsel's brief.

We agree with counsel that this appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit; we

find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. See Bledsoe v.

State, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005); see also Meza v. State,

206 S.W.3d 684, 685 n.6 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006). Accordingly, we grant

counsel's motion to withdraw and affirm the trial court's judgment.

BILL MEIER JUSTICE

PANEL: LIVINGSTON, C.J.; MEIER and GABRIEL, JJ.

DO NOT PUBLISH

Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b)

3

DELIVERED: November 15, 2012