



**COURT OF APPEALS
SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH**

NO. 02-12-00377-CV

IN RE MARK SULLIVAN

RELATOR

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING

MEMORANDUM OPINION¹

The court has considered relator's petition for writ of mandamus and is of the opinion that relief should be denied. The relator complains that the respondent trial court has failed to hold a hearing on his pro se application for writ of habeas corpus bond reduction, but the trial court clerk has no record of relator filing a habeas application in the 271st District Court of Wise County. Even assuming relator filed a habeas application in the district court, the trial court had no duty to rule on the petition because relator was represented by

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4, 52.8(d).

counsel at that time.² See *Robinson v. State*, 240 S.W.3d 919, 922 (Tex. Crim. App. 2007). Accordingly, relator's petition for writ of mandamus is denied.

PER CURIAM

PANEL: GARDNER, MCCOY, and MEIER, JJ.

DELIVERED: September 24, 2012

²This would not apply, however, to any future pro se petitions or motions because relator is no longer represented by counsel.