



**COURT OF APPEALS
SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH**

NO. 02-12-00483-CR

RODNEY WILLARD IGLESIAS

APPELLANT

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

STATE

FROM THE 43RD DISTRICT COURT OF PARKER COUNTY

MEMORANDUM OPINION¹

Appellant Rodney Willard Iglesias entered open pleas of guilty to six counts of possession of child pornography. After accepting his guilty pleas, the trial court sentenced Iglesias to six years' confinement for each count and ordered that the sentences run concurrently.

Iglesias's court-appointed appellate counsel has filed a motion to withdraw as counsel and a brief in support of that motion. Counsel's brief and motion meet

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

the requirements of *Anders v. California*² by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds for relief. This court afforded Iglesias the opportunity to file a response on his own behalf, and he has done so.

As the reviewing court, we must conduct an independent evaluation of the record to determine whether counsel is correct in determining that the appeal is frivolous. See *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); *Mays v. State*, 904 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1995, no pet.). Only then may we grant counsel’s motion to withdraw. See *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 82–83, 109 S. Ct. 346, 351 (1988).

We have carefully reviewed the record, counsel’s brief, and Iglesias’s pro se response. We agree with counsel that this appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit; we find nothing in the record that arguably might support an appeal. See *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). Accordingly, we grant counsel’s motion to withdraw and affirm the trial court’s judgment.

PER CURIAM

PANEL: WALKER, DAUPHINOT, and GARDNER, JJ.

DO NOT PUBLISH
Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b)

DELIVERED: August 15, 2013

²386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396 (1967).