



**COURT OF APPEALS  
SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH**

**NO. 02-15-00378-CV**

SANDRA E. PARKER

APPELLANT

V.

ROBERT J. GLASGOW, JR.; AND  
GLASGOW, TAYLOR, ISHAM &  
GLASGOW, P.C.

APPELLEES

-----  
FROM THE 355TH DISTRICT COURT OF HOOD COUNTY  
TRIAL COURT NO. C2014011  
-----

**CONCURRING MEMORANDUM OPINION<sup>1</sup>**

-----  
I concur. I write separately to emphasize that my concurrence with the majority's disposition sustaining Appellant Sandra E. Parker's first and second issues is based solely on the fact that the motion for summary judgment filed by Appellees Robert J. Glasgow, Jr. and Glasgow, Taylor, Isham & Glasgow, P.C.

---

<sup>1</sup>See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

on Parker's legal malpractice claim filed against them was a traditional motion for summary judgment seeking judgment as a matter of law. The majority's holding does not preclude the filing of a no-evidence motion for summary judgment by Appellees. With this caveat, I respectfully concur.

/s/ Sue Walker

SUE WALKER  
JUSTICE

DELIVERED: June 22, 2017