

NO. 07-05-0250-CR
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AT AMARILLO
PANEL D
DECEMBER 2, 2005

JOHN ZAVALA,

Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

FROM THE 396TH DISTRICT COURT OF TARRANT COUNTY;
NO. 0943395D; HON. GEORGE GALLAGHER, PRESIDING

Memorandum Opinion

Before QUINN, C.J., and REAVIS and CAMPBELL, JJ.

Appellant, John Zavala, appealed his convictions for arson and burglary of a habitation. His appointed counsel has filed a motion to withdraw, together with an *Anders*¹ brief. He certified that, after diligently searching the record, he found the appeal meritless. Appellate counsel served his client with a copy of his brief and motion. So too did he tell appellant of his conclusions and of appellant's right to file a *pro se* response or brief and

¹Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 744-45, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967).

review the record. This court also informed appellant of the same matters and directed him to file any response or brief he cared to by November 30, 2005. To date, appellant has neither filed a response, brief, or request for an extension.

Per *Anders*, appellate counsel explained, in his brief, why the appeal was meritless. We conducted our own review of the record to uncover potential error, reversible or otherwise, pursuant to *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). Like that of appellate counsel, our review uncovered none.

Accordingly, the motion to withdraw is granted, and the judgment is affirmed.

Brian Quinn
Chief Justice

Do not publish.