

NO. 07-07-0011-CV
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AT AMARILLO
PANEL C
JULY 6, 2007

IN THE INTEREST OF P.M.T.D., A CHILD

FROM THE 320TH DISTRICT COURT OF POTTER COUNTY;
NO. 70,564-D; HONORABLE DON EMERSON, JUDGE

Before QUINN, C.J., and HANCOCK and PIRTLE, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

By the entry of an *Order of Termination*, signed November 21, 2006, the trial court terminated the parental rights of the Appellant, Channon Michelle Adams, to her child, P.M.T.D. Appellant perfected this accelerated appeal by filing a *pro se* notice of appeal on December 11, 2006. The District Clerk inadvertently failed to note that this appeal was separate and distinct from an appeal filed in a companion case, Cause No. 07-06-0477-CV, styled *In the Interest of A.T.D.*, resulting in the late filing of the clerk's record on February 15, 2007. The reporter's record having been previously filed, Appellant's brief

was, therefore, originally due on Wednesday, **March 7, 2007**. On March 14, 2007, Appellant filed a *Motion For Extension of Time to File Appellant's Brief*, which motion was granted thereby extending the deadline for the filing of Appellant's brief until the earlier of twenty days following the filing of a supplemental reporter's record or **April 13, 2007**. Appellant did not seek an extension of this deadline; however, the reporter did request an extension of time to file the supplemental reporter's record. The reporter's request was granted on April 17, 2007, extending the deadline for filing the supplemental reporter's record until May 15, 2007. At the same time, this Court *sua sponte* extended the deadline for filing Appellant's brief until 20 days following the filing of the supplemental record. The supplemental record was filed on May 15, 2007, making Appellant's brief due on Monday, **June 4, 2007**. On June 11th this Court again notified Appellant that unless the brief, or a response reasonably explaining Appellant's failure to file a brief, were filed on or before **June 21, 2007**, the appeal would be subject to dismissal for want of prosecution without further notice pursuant to Rules 38.8(a)(1) and 42.3(b) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. To date this Court has received neither a brief nor another request for extension of time to file Appellant's brief.

Having failed to meet any of the four previous deadlines for the filing of Appellant's brief, the appeal is dismissed.

Per Curiam