



**In The
Court of Appeals
Seventh District of Texas at Amarillo**

No. 07-15-00142-CR

KEVIN COBB, APPELLANT

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, APPELLEE

On Appeal from the County Court at Law No. 4
Travis County, Texas
Trial Court No. C-1-CR-14-152804, Honorable Mike Denton, Presiding

September 10, 2015

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before **CAMPBELL** and **HANCOCK** and **PIRTLE, JJ.**

Appellant, Kevin Cobb, was convicted of violation of a protective order¹ and sentenced to serve 365 days in the Travis County jail and pay a fine of \$400. Appellant has perfected his appeal. We will affirm.

Appellant's attorney has filed an *Anders* brief and a motion to withdraw. *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 498 (1967). In support of his motion to withdraw, counsel certifies that he has diligently reviewed the record, and in

¹ See TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 25.07(a)(2)(C) (West Supp. 2014).

his opinion, the record reflects no reversible error upon which an appeal can be predicated. *Id.* at 744-45. In compliance with *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 813 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978), counsel has candidly discussed why, under the controlling authorities, there is no error in the trial court's judgment.

By his *Anders* brief, counsel raises grounds that could possibly support an appeal, but concludes the appeal is frivolous. We have reviewed these grounds and made an independent review of the entire record to determine whether there are any arguable grounds which might support an appeal. See *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 109 S. Ct. 346, 102 L. Ed. 2d 300 (1988); *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824 (Tex. Crim.App. 2005). We have found no such arguable grounds and agree with counsel that the appeal is frivolous.²

Counsel has certified that he has provided appellant a copy of the *Anders* brief and motion to withdraw and appropriately advised appellant of his right to file a *pro se* response in this matter. *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). The Court has also advised appellant of his right to file a *pro se* response. Additionally, appellant's counsel has certified that he has provided appellant a copy of the record to use in preparation of a *pro se* response. See *Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319-20 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014). Appellant has not filed a response.

² Counsel shall, within five days after this opinion is handed down, send his client a copy of the opinion and judgment, along with notification of appellant's right to file a *pro se* petition for discretionary review. See TEX. R. APP. P. 48.4.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is hereby granted, and the trial court's judgment is affirmed.

Mackey K. Hancock
Justice

Do not publish.