



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Seventh District of Texas at Amarillo**

---

No. 07-15-00075-CR

---

**ANTHONY FLORES, APPELLANT**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, APPELLEE**

---

On Appeal from the 364th District Court  
Lubbock County, Texas  
Trial Court No. 2012-434171, Honorable Bradley S. Underwood, Presiding

---

February 12, 2016

**CONCURRING OPINION**

Before QUINN, C.J., and HANCOCK and PIRTLE, JJ.

I join in the majority opinion and judgment but write separately to distinguish this result from our decision in *Hereford v. State*, 444 S.W.3d 346 (Tex. App.—Amarillo 2014, no pet.). *Hereford* also involved the admission of a 911 call and the question of whether doing so violated the defendant’s right to confront witnesses. In large part, we held the evidence inadmissible due to “the absence of any indicia suggesting the presence of some emergency.” *Id.* at 351-52. Here, though, such indicia appear of

record. Consequently, I too agree that the trial court did not abuse its discretion here by rejecting appellant's confrontation claim.

Brian Quinn  
Chief Justice

Do not publish.