



IN THE  
TENTH COURT OF APPEALS

---

No. 10-15-00437-CR

KAMERON PEARSON,

Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

---

From the 19th District Court  
McLennan County, Texas  
Trial Court No. 2013-1028-C1

---

---

MEMORANDUM OPINION

---

---

After pleading guilty under a plea bargain and waiving his right of appeal, Appellant Kameron Pearson filed a pro se “motion nunc pro tunc reformation of judgment to delete requirement to pay attorney’s fees.” The trial court denied the motion, and Pearson has filed a pro se notice of appeal of that order.

We do not have appellate jurisdiction of the denial of a motion for judgment nunc pro tunc. *Everett v. State*, 82 S.W.3d 735 (Tex. App.—Waco 2002, pet. disp’d). The appropriate remedy to obtain review of the denial of a nunc pro tunc motion is by a

petition for writ of mandamus. *Ex parte Forooghi*, 185 S.W.3d 498 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006) (Johnson, J., concurring statement); *see also Ex parte Ybarra*, 149 S.W.3d 147, 149 (Tex. Crim. App. 2004). Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal for want of jurisdiction.

REX D. DAVIS  
Justice

Before Chief Justice Gray,  
Justice Davis, and  
Justice Scoggins

Dismissed

Opinion delivered and filed December 23, 2015

Do not publish

[CR25]

