

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-01-00336-CR

Cody Dean Bidy, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BURNET COUNTY, 33RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT
NO. 7376, HONORABLE GUILFORD JONES, JUDGE PRESIDING**

Appellant Cody Dean Bidy was placed on deferred adjudication community supervision after pleading guilty to robbery. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 29.02 (West 1994). The district court later revoked supervision, adjudged appellant guilty, and imposed sentence of imprisonment for twenty years and a \$5000 fine.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also* *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App.

1969). A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant, and appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Mack Kidd, Justice

Before Justices Kidd, Patterson and Puryear

Affirmed

Filed: April 18, 2002

Do Not Publish