## **TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

## NO. 03-02-00058-CR

## Michael Fruin, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

## FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF TRAVIS COUNTY, 147TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT NO. 961194, HONORABLE WILFORD FLOWERS, JUDGE PRESIDING

Appellant Michael Fruin pleaded guilty to sexually assaulting a child. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. ' 22.011 (West Supp. 2002). He was convicted of this offense and placed on community supervision. He now appeals from an order revoking supervision based in part of his pleas of true to some of the alleged violations.

Appellant=s court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). A copy of counsel=s brief was delivered to appellant, and appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed. Wehavereviewed the record and counsel=s brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

The order revoking community supervision is affirmed.

Lee Yeakel, Justice

Before Chief Justice Aboussie, Justices B. A. Smith and Yeakel

Affirmed

Filed: August 30, 2002

Do Not Publish