## **TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

## NO. 03-02-00235-CR

Mark Lagrada Canete, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

## FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF TOM GREEN COUNTY, 51ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT NO. A-99-0652-S, HONORABLE CURT F. STEIB, JUDGE PRESIDING

Appellant Mark Lagrada Canete was placed on community supervision after being convicted of burglary of a habitation on a plea of guilty. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. <sup>1</sup> 30.02 (West Supp. 2002). His supervision was revoked after he admitted several of the violations alleged in the motion to revoke.

Appellant=s court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). A copy of

counsels brief was delivered to appellant, and appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsels brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. Counsels motion to withdraw is granted.

The order revoking community supervision is affirmed.

## Mack Kidd, Justice

Before Justices Kidd, Patterson and Puryear

Affirmed

Filed: August 30, 2002

Do Not Publish