

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-02-00239-CR

David Joe Bridges, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

**FROM THE COUNTY COURT AT LAW NO. 2 OF TOM GREEN COUNTY
NO. 01-02186L2, HONORABLE PENNY ROBERTS, JUDGE PRESIDING**

A jury found appellant David Joe Bridges guilty of driving while intoxicated. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. ' 49.04 (West Supp. 2002). The court assessed punishment at incarceration for ninety days and a \$300 fine, but suspended imposition of sentence and placed appellant on community supervision.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also* *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant, and appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

David Puryear, Justice

Before Justices Kidd, Patterson and Puryear

Affirmed

Filed: August 30, 2002

Do Not Publish