

**TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

---

---

**NO. 03-10-00551-CR**

---

---

**Steven Michael Backstrom, Appellant**

**v.**

**The State of Texas, Appellee**

---

---

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BURNET COUNTY, 33RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
NO. 35498, HONORABLE GUILFORD L. JONES III, JUDGE PRESIDING**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

A jury convicted Steven Michael Backstrom of burglary of a habitation with intent to commit the felony offense of indecency with a child and aggravated sexual assault of a child and assessed punishment for the offenses at ninety-nine years in prison and life imprisonment, respectively.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw supported by a brief concluding that this appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the records demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). Appellant received a copy of counsel's

brief and was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. *See Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744. No pro se brief has been filed and no extension of time was requested.

We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. *See Garner v. State*, 300 S.W.3d 763, 766 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009); *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). We agree with counsel that the appeal is frivolous. Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted. The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

---

Jeff Rose, Justice

Before Justices Puryear, Pemberton and Rose

Affirmed

Filed: April 15, 2011

Do Not Publish