

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

---

---

NO. 03-11-00591-CV

---

---

In re Paul Douglas Archer

---

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING FROM COMAL COUNTY

---

---

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Relator Paul Douglas Archer, an inmate convicted of the offenses of indecency with a child and aggravated sexual assault,<sup>1</sup> has filed a pro se petition for writ of mandamus against the Sheriff of Comal County and the chief civil legal assistant of the Comal County District Attorney's Office. The petition alleges that respondents have refused to provide information requested by relator under the Texas Public Information Act. *See* Tex. Gov't Code Ann. §§ 552.001-.353 (West 2004 & Supp. 2010).

This Court's mandamus jurisdiction is limited. We have jurisdiction to issue a writ of mandamus against district or county court judges in our district. *See id.* § 22.221(b) (West 2004). We do not have mandamus jurisdiction against other individuals unless issuance of the writ is necessary to enforce this Court's jurisdiction. *See id.* § 22.221(a); *see also* *Silva v. Klevenhagen*, 833 S.W.2d 746, 747 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1992, orig. proceeding). Relator has not

---

<sup>1</sup> *See Archer v. State*, Nos. 03-07-00019-CR & 03-07-00020-CR, 2007 Tex. App. LEXIS 6612 (Tex. App.—Austin Aug. 17, 2007, pet. ref'd, untimely filed) (mem. op., not designated for publication).

demonstrated, and we do not conclude, that the requested relief is necessary to enforce our jurisdiction.

The petition for writ of mandamus is denied. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 52.8(a).

---

Bob Pemberton, Justice

Before Chief Justice Jones, Justices Pemberton and Henson

Filed: October 19, 2011