

**TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

---

---

**NO. 03-13-00743-CR**

---

---

**Thomas Creech, Appellant**

**v.**

**The State of Texas, Appellee**

---

---

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF LLANO COUNTY, 424TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
NO. CR6350, THE HONORABLE DANIEL H. MILLS, JUDGE PRESIDING**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

A jury convicted appellant Thomas Creech of murder, *see* Tex. Penal Code § 19.02, and assessed his punishment at confinement for 75 years in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, *see id.* § 12.32.

Appellant’s court-appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw supported by a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California* by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967); *Garner v. State*, 300 S.W.3d 763, 766 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009); *see also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 81–82 (1988).

Appellant’s counsel represented to this Court that he provided copies of the motion and brief to appellant along with a letter advising appellant of his right to examine the appellate

record and file a pro se brief. *See Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; *Garner*, 300 S.W.3d at 766. Appellant requested an extension of time to file a response, which this Court granted, but no pro se brief or other written response has been filed.

We have conducted an independent review of the record and find no reversible error. *See Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; *Garner*, 300 S.W.3d at 766; *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). We agree with counsel that the record presents no arguably meritorious grounds for review and the appeal is frivolous. Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted. The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

---

Melissa Goodwin, Justice

Before Justices Puryear, Goodwin, and Field

Affirmed

Filed: September 11, 2014

Do Not Publish