TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-20-00466-CV

Robert Joseph Yezak, Appellant

v.

Jeana A. Riley, Appellee

FROM THE 20TH DISTRICT COURT OF MILAM COUNTY NO. CV40,354, THE HONORABLE JOHN YOUNGBLOOD, JUDGE PRESIDING

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Robert Joseph Yezak filed a notice of appeal from the trial court's September 1, 2020 order denying appellant's request for a temporary restraining order. Upon initial review, the Clerk of this Court sent Yezak a letter informing him that this Court appears to lack jurisdiction over the appeal because our jurisdiction is limited to appeals in which there exists a final or appealable judgment, or in the case of an interlocutory order, there is a statute that provides for an interlocutory appeal. An order denying a request for temporary restraining order is neither. *See* Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 51.012; *Lehmann v. Har-Con Corp.*, 39 S.W.3d 191, 195 (Tex. 2001) (explaining that appeal generally may only be taken from final judgment that disposes of all pending parties and claims in record unless statute provides for interlocutory appeal); *Stary v. DeBord*, 967 S.W.2d 352, 352-53 (Tex. 1998) ("Appellate courts

have jurisdiction to consider immediate appeals of interlocutory orders only if a statute explicitly

provides appellate jurisdiction."); see also Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 51.014 (specifically

permitting appeal of various interlocutory orders but not permitting appeal from grant or denial

of temporary restraining order). The Clerk requested a response on or before December 10,

2020, informing this Court of any basis that exists for jurisdiction. To date, no response has been

filed.

Accordingly, for the reasons explained above, we dismiss the appeal for want of

jurisdiction. See Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(a).

Gisela D. Triana, Justice

Before Justices Goodwin, Triana, and Smith

Dismissed for Want of Jurisdiction

Filed: December 30, 2020

2