



**NUMBER 13-09-00467-CV**

**COURT OF APPEALS**

**THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS**

**CORPUS CHRISTI - EDINBURG**

---

---

**IN RE IN THE INTEREST OF J.L.L.**

---

---

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

**Before Chief Justice Valdez and Justices Yañez and Benavides  
Memorandum Opinion Per Curiam<sup>1</sup>**

Relators, Obed Jimenez and Debora Jimenez, filed a petition for writ of mandamus by which they request this Court to direct respondent, the Honorable Ricardo Rodriguez, presiding judge of the 92nd Judicial District Court of Hidalgo County, Texas, to reconsider several rulings in a child-custody dispute. Relators also filed a request for emergency temporary relief, which sought to stay enforcement of an order, dated August 13, 2009,

---

<sup>1</sup> See TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(d) ("When denying relief, the court may hand down an opinion but is not required to do so."); TEX. R. APP. P. 47.4 (distinguishing opinions and memorandum opinions).

appointing real parties in interest as primary managing conservators of J.L.L. See TEX. R. APP. P. 24.2 (providing that upon proper showing, an appellate court may suspend enforcement of a conservatorship order with or without security); *Id.* RULE 52.10(b).

This Court granted relators' motion and stayed enforcement of the August 13, 2009 order until further orders from this Court. We also requested a response from the real parties in interest, Eva Gonzalez and Valdemar Gonzalez, and one was timely filed.

The Court, having examined and fully considered the petition for writ of mandamus, the response, and the papers on file, is of the opinion that relators have not shown themselves entitled to the relief sought and the petition for writ of mandamus should be denied. See TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a). Accordingly, the petition for writ of mandamus is **DENIED**. The order staying enforcement of the trial court's August 13, 2009 order is hereby **LIFTED**.<sup>2</sup>

PER CURIAM

Memorandum Opinion delivered  
and filed on the 31st of August, 2009.

---

<sup>2</sup> On August 19, 2009, relators filed a request to refer the case to mediation. Having denied relators' petition on the merits, we refer the motion for mediation to the trial court for its consideration.