### NO. 12-09-00103-CR

## **IN THE COURT OF APPEALS**

# **TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT**

## **TYLER, TEXAS**

ş

KENNITH W. LORENTZ, SR., APPELLANT *§ APPEAL FROM THE 217TH* 

JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF

*V*.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, APPELLEE § ANGELINA COUNTY, TEXAS

#### MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

Appellant, Kennith W. Lorentz, Sr., attempts to appeal from an order denying his motion to compel discovery. As a general rule, an appeal in a criminal case may be taken only from a judgment of conviction. *See Workman v. State*, 170 Tex. Crim. 621, 622, 343 S.W.2d 446, 447 (Tex. Crim. App. 1961). However, there are certain narrow exceptions. *Wright v. State*, 969 S.W.2d 588, 589 (Tex. App.-Dallas 1998, no pet.) (listing exceptions). The order Appellant complains of is not a judgment of conviction nor does it fall within any exception to the general rule. Therefore, we have no jurisdiction over the appeal.

On April 3, 2009, this court notified Appellant that the information received in this appeal does not include a final judgment or other appealable order and therefore does not show the jurisdiction of this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 37.1. Appellant was further notified that the appeal would be dismissed unless the information was amended on or before May 4, 2009 to show the jurisdiction of this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 44 .3. This deadline has now passed, and Appellant has not shown the jurisdiction of this court. Accordingly, the appeal is *dismissed for want of jurisdiction*.

Opinion delivered May 13, 2009. Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.

(DO NOT PUBLISH)