# NO. 12-10-00006-CR

# **IN THE COURT OF APPEALS**

# **TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT**

# **TYLER, TEXAS**

ERIC JASON VICTOR, APPELLANT	Ş	APPEAL FROM THE 7TH
<i>V</i> .	Ş	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF
THE STATE OF TEXAS, APPELLEE	Ş	SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS

### MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

Eric Jason Victor appeals his conviction for possession of a controlled substance. Appellant pleaded guilty and the trial court assessed punishment at eighteen months of confinement in a state jail facility. Appellant's counsel filed a motion to withdraw and a brief in support of that motion in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967) and *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). We dismiss Appellant's appeal.

### ANALYSIS PURSUANT TO ANDERS V. CALIFORNIA

Appellant's counsel filed a brief in compliance with *Anders* and *Gainous*, stating that he is well acquainted with the facts in this case and has diligently reviewed the appellate record. In compliance with *Anders*, *Gainous*, and *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978), Appellant's brief presents a chronological summation of the procedural history of the case, and further states that Appellant's counsel is of the opinion that the record reflects no reversible error and counsel is unable to raise any arguable issues for appeal.<sup>1</sup> We have considered counsel's brief

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Counsel for Appellant has certified that he provided Appellant with a copy of this brief. Appellant was

and conducted our own independent review of the record. We have found no reversible error. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

#### **CONCLUSION**

As required, Appellant's counsel has moved for leave to withdraw. *See In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403, 407 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008) (orig. proceeding); *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We are in agreement with Appellant's counsel that the appeal is wholly frivolous. Accordingly, his motion to withdraw is hereby *granted*, and we *dismiss* this appeal. *See In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 408-09.

Counsel has a duty to, within five days of the date of this opinion, send a copy of the opinion and judgment to Appellant and advise him of his right to file a petition for discretionary review. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 48.4; *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 411 n.35. Should Appellant wish to seek further review of this case by the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, he must either retain an attorney to file a petition for discretionary review or he must file a pro se petition for discretionary review. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed within thirty days from the date of this opinion or the date the last timely filed motion for rehearing is overruled by this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.2. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed with this court, after which it will be forwarded to the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals along with the rest of the filings in the case. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.3. Any petition for discretionary review should comply with the requirements of Rule 68.4 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.4; *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 408 n.22.

Opinion delivered April 20, 2011. Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.

#### (DO NOT PUBLISH)

given time to file his own brief in this cause. The time for filing such a brief has expired, and we have not received a pro se brief.