NO. 12-10-00143-CV

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

MEMODANDUM ODINION	
ELIZABETH SERESEROZ ARELLANO, APPELLEE	§ ANDERSON COUNTY, TEXAS
<i>V</i> .	<i>§ JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF</i>
AUGUSTINE ARELLANO, APPELLANT	§ APPEAL FROM THE 349TH

MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

This pro se *in forma pauperis* appeal is being dismissed for failure to comply with the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(c). The judgment in this case was signed on April 12, 2010. Appellant timely filed a notice of appeal that failed to contain the information required by Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure 9.5 and 25.1(e), i.e., a certificate of service showing service on all parties to the trial court's judgment.

On May 14, 2010, Appellant was notified pursuant to Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 37.1 that the notice of appeal was defective for failure to comply with rules 9.5 and 25.1(e). He was further notified that unless he filed an amended notice of appeal on or before June 14, 2010, the appeal would be referred to the court for dismissal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(c). The deadline for filing an amended notice of appeal has passed, and Appellant has not corrected his defective notice of appeal or otherwise responded to this court's notice. Accordingly, the appeal is *dismissed* for failure to comply with the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(c); *Feist v. Berg*, No. 12-04-00004-CV, 2004 WL 252785, at *1 (Tex. App.-Tyler Feb. 11, 2004, pet. denied); *Feist v. Hubert*, No. 12-03-00442-CV, 2004 WL 252285, at *1 (Tex. App.-Tyler Feb. 11, 2004, pet. 11, 2004, pet. denied).

Opinion delivered June 23, 2010. Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.