## NO. 12-11-00302-CR

### **IN THE COURT OF APPEALS**

### **TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT**

# **TYLER, TEXAS**

KIARA E. TAYLOR, APPELLANT	Ş	APPEALS FROM THE 159TH
<i>V</i> .	Ş	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
THE STATE OF TEXAS, APPELLEE	\$	ANGELINA COUNTY, TEXAS

#### MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

Kiara E. Taylor appeals his conviction for possession of a firearm by a felon, for which he was sentenced to imprisonment for seven years. Appellant's counsel filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967) and *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). Thereafter, Appellant filed a pro se brief. We affirm.

#### BACKGROUND

Appellant was charged by indictment with possession of a firearm by a felon. The State later gave notice of its intent to seek an enhancement of the charged offense based on Appellant's previously having been adjudicated of engaging in delinquent conduct "constituting a felony offense of Burglary of a Habitation." Appellant pleaded "guilty" as charged in the indictment and pleaded "true" to the enhancement allegation. The court accepted Appellant's plea and sentenced him to imprisonment for seven years. This appeal followed.

#### ANALYSIS PURSUANT TO ANDERS V. CALIFORNIA

Appellant's counsel filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California* and *Gainous v. State*. Appellant's counsel states that he has diligently reviewed the appellate record and is of the opinion that the record reflects no reversible error and that there is no error upon which an appeal can be predicated. He further relates that he is well acquainted with the facts in this case. In compliance with *Anders*, *Gainous*, and *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978), counsel's *Anders* brief presents a chronological summation of the procedural history of the case and further states that Appellant's counsel is unable to raise any arguable issues for appeal.

Thereafter, Appellant filed a pro se brief in which he argued that his "guilty" plea was involuntary because he did not agree to the seven year sentence he received.<sup>1</sup> We have reviewed the record for reversible error and have found none. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

#### **CONCLUSION**

As required by *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991), Appellant's counsel has moved for leave to withdraw. *See also In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403, 407 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008) (orig. proceeding). We carried the motion for consideration with the merits. Having done so and finding no reversible error, Appellant's counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is hereby *granted* and the trial court's judgment is *affirmed*.

As a result of our disposition of this case, Appellant's counsel has a duty to, within five days of the date of this opinion, send a copy of the opinion and judgment to Appellant and advise him of his right to file a petition for discretionary review. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 48.4; *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 411 n.35. Should Appellant wish to seek review of this case by the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, he must either retain an attorney to file a petition for discretionary review on his behalf or he must file a petition for discretionary review pro se. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed within thirty days from the date of either this opinion or the last timely motion for rehearing that was overruled by this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.2. Any petition for discretionary review should comply with the requirements of Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 68.4. *See In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 408 n.22.

Opinion delivered April 30, 2013.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.

#### (DO NOT PUBLISH)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the interest of justice, we have construed liberally the issue presented in Appellant's brief.



# COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS JUDGMENT

APRIL 30, 2013

NO. 12-11-00302-CR

KIARA E. TAYLOR, Appellant V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

Appeal from the 159th Judicial District Court of Angelina County, Texas. (Tr.Ct.No. 2011-0043)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record and briefs filed herein, and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this court that there was no error in the judgment.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Appellant's counsel's motion to withdraw is **granted**, the judgment of the court below **be in all things affirmed**, and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By per curiam opinion. Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.