NO. 12-13-00328-CR

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

DAWALUS M. HAMPTON,
APPELLANT

V.
\$ JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
APPELLEE \$ SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS

MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

Appellant, Dawalus M. Hampton, attempts to appeal from an order denying his motion for free documents and trial records. As a general rule, an appeal in a criminal case may be taken only from a judgment of conviction. *See Workman v. State*, 343 S.W.2d 446, 447 (Tex. Crim. App.1961). However, there are certain narrow exceptions. *See Wright v. State*, 969 S.W.2d 588, 589 (Tex. App.–Dallas 1998, no pet.) (listing exceptions). The order Appellant complains of is not a judgment of conviction nor does it fall within any exception to the general rule. Therefore, we have no jurisdiction over the appeal.

On November 6, 2013, this court notified Appellant that the information received in this appeal does not include a final judgment or other appealable order and therefore does not show the jurisdiction of this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 37.2. Appellant was further notified that the appeal would be dismissed unless the information was amended on or before November 9, 2013, to show the jurisdiction of this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 44.3. This deadline has now passed, and Appellant has neither shown the jurisdiction of this court nor otherwise responded to its November 6, 2013 notice. Accordingly, the appeal is *dismissed for want of jurisdiction*. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a).

Opinion delivered December 12, 2013.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.

(DO NOT PUBLISH)



COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JUDGMENT

DECEMBER 12, 2013

NO. 12-13-00328-CR

DAWALUS M. HAMPTON,
Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS,
Appellee

Appeal from the 7th District Court of Smith County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. 007-0608-12)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this court that this court is without jurisdiction of the appeal, and that the appeal should be dismissed.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for want of jurisdiction**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By per curiam opinion.
Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.