

IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

-----ooOoo-----

Layton City,)	MEMORANDUM DECISION
)	(Not For Official Publication)
Plaintiff and Appellee,)	
)	Case No. 20050657-CA
v.)	
)	F I L E D
Blake Jay Anderson,)	(November 25, 2005)
)	
Defendant and Appellant.)	2005 UT App 507

Second District, Layton Department, 051600183
The Honorable John R. Morris

Attorneys: Christopher A. Beins, Tremonton, for Appellant

Before Judges Davis, Orme, and Thorne.

PER CURIAM:

Blake Jay Anderson filed a notice of appeal from his convictions of two misdemeanor charges. This is before the court on its own motion for summary disposition based on the lack of a substantial question for review. Neither party responded to the motion.

Anderson pleaded guilty to two misdemeanor charges. He did not move to withdraw his pleas. A guilty plea constitutes a waiver of the right to appeal all nonjurisdictional issues. See State v. Smith, 833 P.2d 371, 372 (Utah Ct. App. 1992). The general rule in criminal proceedings is that, by pleading guilty, a defendant is deemed to have admitted all of the essential elements of the crime charged and thereby waives all nonjurisdictional defects, including alleged preplea constitutional violations. See State v. Parsons, 781 P.2d 1275, 1277 (Utah 1989). Because Anderson pleaded guilty, he has waived the right to appeal the issues identified in his docketing statement. Further, with no response from either party

identifying any other issues for appeal, there appears to be no substantial issue for review.

Accordingly, Anderson's convictions are affirmed.

James Z. Davis, Judge

Gregory K. Orme, Judge

William A. Thorne Jr., Judge