REL: 2/25/11

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the advance sheets of <u>Southern Reporter</u>. Readers are requested to notify the <u>Reporter of Decisions</u>, Alabama Appellate Courts, 300 Dexter Avenue, Montgomery, Alabama 36104-3741 ((334) 229-0649), of any typographical or other errors, in order that corrections may be made before the opinion is printed in <u>Southern Reporter</u>.

ALABAMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS

		OCTOBE	R TERI	M, 2	2010-	-2011	
	2080276						
The	Baldwin	County	Plann:	ing	and	Zoning	Commission
			v				
	1	Montrose	Ecor	Rot	ige,	L.L.C.	
	ı	Montrose	Ecor	Rou	ıge,	L.L.C.	
			υ	• _			

The Baldwin County Planning and Zoning Commission

Appeals from Baldwin Circuit Court (CV-08-900122)

After Remand from the Alabama Supreme Court

BRYAN, Judge.

2080276

The Supreme Court of Alabama has reversed the prior judgment of this court and remanded the cause. See Ex parte Baldwin County Planning & Zoning Comm'n, [Ms. 1091042, October 22, 2010] So. 3d (Ala. 2010). Accordingly, with respect to the appeal brought by the Baldwin County Planning and Zoning Commission ("the Commission"), we reverse the judgment of the trial court insofar as it (1) declared §§ 1.2.2, 5.1, and 5.2.2 of the Baldwin County Subdivision Regulations to be void and unenforceable and (2) issued a writ of mandamus compelling the Commission to approve a preliminary plat ("the plat") submitted to the Commission in November 2007 by Montrose Ecor Rouge, L.L.C. ("the developer"), and we remand the cause to the trial court for further proceedings consistent with the supreme court's opinion. With respect to the cross-appeal brought by the developer, we affirm the judgment of the trial court insofar as it denied the developer's claim seeking an award of damages against the Commission for disapproving the plat.

APPEAL -- REVERSED AND REMANDED.

CROSS-APPEAL -- AFFIRMED.

Thompson, P.J., and Pittman, Thomas, and Moore, JJ., concur.