REL: 06/03/2016

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the advance sheets of <u>Southern Reporter</u>. Readers are requested to notify the **Reporter of Decisions**, Alabama Appellate Courts, 300 Dexter Avenue, Montgomery, Alabama 36104-3741 ((334) 229-0649), of any typographical or other errors, in order that corrections may be made before the opinion is printed in <u>Southern Reporter</u>.

ALABAMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

OCTOBER TERM, 2015-2016

CR-10-0642

Michael Brandon Kelley

v.

State of Alabama

Appeal from St. Clair Circuit Court (CC-09-73)

On Remand from the Alabama Supreme Court

WINDOM, Presiding Judge.

On September 5, 2014, this Court affirmed Michael Brandon Kelley's two convictions for capital murder, <u>see</u> \$13A-5-40(a)(1) and 13A-5-40(a)(8), Ala. Code 1975, his sentences of death for those convictions, and his one

CR-10-0642

conviction for sexual torture, <u>see</u> § 13A-6-65.1, Ala. Code 1975. <u>Kelley v. State</u>, [Ms. CR-10-0642, Sept. 5, 2014] ____ So. 3d ____ (Ala. Crim. App. 2014). On February 24, 2015, the Alabama Supreme Court granted Kelley's petition for a writ of certiorari to review whether this Court had jurisdiction to affirm his sexual-torture conviction.

On November 6, 2015, the Alabama Supreme Court issued an opinion in which it determined that this Court did not have jurisdiction to affirm Kelley's conviction for sexual torture. <u>Ex parte Kelley</u>, [Ms. 1131451, Nov. 6, 2015] _____ So. 3d _____ (Ala. 2015). Specifically, the Alabama Supreme Court determined that the circuit court had not pronounced a sentence for Kelley's sexual-torture conviction; therefore, there had been no judgment of conviction under § 12-22-130, Ala. Code 1975, from which to appeal. ______ So. 3d at _____. Because there was no judgment of conviction relating to the sexual-torture charge, any appeal from that charge was not ripe. ______ So. 3d at _____. Consequently, this Court lacked jurisdiction to affirm that conviction. ______ So. 3d at _____.

After determining that this Court lacked jurisdiction to affirm Kelley's conviction for sexual torture, the Alabama

2

CR-10-0642

Supreme Court "reverse[d] the Court of Criminal Appeals' judgment insofar as it affirm[ed] Kelley's sexual-torture conviction, and ... remand[ed] the case for proceedings consistent with [its] opinion." _____ So. 3d at ____. In accordance with the Alabama Supreme Court's opinion in <u>Ex</u> <u>parte Kelley</u>, [Ms. 1131451, Nov. 6, 2015] ____ So. 3d ____ (Ala. 2015), this Court's judgment in <u>Kelley v. State</u>, [Ms. CR-10-0642, Sept. 5, 2014] ____ So. 3d ____ (Ala. Crim. App. 2014), is vacated to the extent it addressed and affirmed Kelley's conviction for sexual torture, and Kelley's appeal from that conviction is dismissed.

APPEAL DISMISSED IN PART.

Welch, Kellum, Burke, and Joiner, JJ., concur.

3