Rel: 12/16/2016

**Notice:** This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the advance sheets of <u>Southern Reporter</u>. Readers are requested to notify the **Reporter of Decisions**, Alabama Appellate Courts, 300 Dexter Avenue, Montgomery, Alabama 36104-3741 ((334) 229-0649), of any typographical or other errors, in order that corrections may be made before the opinion is printed in <u>Southern Reporter</u>.

## ALABAMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

OCTOBER TERM, 2016-2017

CR-14-1004

Joe Louis Spencer

v.

## State of Alabama

Appeal from Escambia Circuit Court (CC-96-417)

On Remand from the Alabama Supreme Court WELCH, Judge.

Joe Louis Spencer filed a motion for sentence reconsideration under § 13A-5-9.1, Ala. Code 1975, and <u>Kirby v. State</u>, 899 So. 2d 968 (Ala. 2004). The motion stated that it was deposited in the prison-system mailbox on March 6,

CR-14-1004

2014. The motion was not received by and filed in the circuit clerk's office until March 23, 2015. On March 27, 2015, the circuit court denied Spencer's motion because it was "filed" after March 13, 2014, the effective date of Act No. 2014-165, Ala. Acts 2014, which repealed § 13A-5-9.1, Ala. Code 1975, for all cases in which a motion to reconsider sentence was not then pending. Following the circuit court's ruling, Spencer filed a motion to reconsider the ruling in which he argued that he was entitled to the benefit of the "mailbox rule," which would deem his motion filed on March 6, 2014, when he placed the motion in the prison mail. Spencer's motion for the court to reconsider its ruling was denied.

On appeal, Spencer argued that the circuit court erred in denying his motion and that he was entitled to have his motion deemed filed on March 6, 2014, when, according to Spencer, he placed it in the prison mail. On December 18, 2015, this Court, by order, dismissed Spencer's appeal, concluding that the mailbox rule did not apply to motions for sentence reconsideration because § 13A-5-9.1, Ala. Code 1975, did not impose any time requirements and that, therefore, Spencer's motion was not deemed filed until after the effective date of

CR-14-1004

Act No. 2014-165. Thus, we held, the circuit court did not have jurisdiction to rule on Spencer's motion to reconsider his sentence. Spencer v. State, [Ms. CR-14-1004, December 18, 2015] \_\_\_ So. 3d \_\_\_ (Ala. Crim. App. 2014) (Burke, J., dissenting). Spencer then petitioned the Alabama Supreme Court for certiorari review. On September 16, 2016, the Alabama Supreme Court reversed this Court's judgment. Exparte Spencer, [Ms. 1150683, September 16, 2016] \_\_\_ So. 3d \_\_\_ (Ala. 2016).

The Alabama Supreme Court, in an opinion authored by Justice Murdock, held that the repeal of § 13A-5-9.1, Ala. Code 1975, created a deadline that did warrant application of the prison-mailbox rule. In note 5 of that opinion, however, the Alabama Supreme Court noted that although the prison-mailbox rule applies to Spencer's case, "it remains for Spencer to prove on remand that he did deposit his motion in the prison mail system on March 6, 2014, as he alleges, and that he complied with all applicable requirements." \_\_\_ So. 3d at n. 5.

In accordance with the directions of the Alabama Supreme Court, we reverse the circuit court's order denying Spencer's

## CR-14-1004

motion for sentence reconsideration, and we remand the case to the circuit court proceedings consistent with the Alabama Supreme Court's opinion in <a href="Exparte Spencer">Exparte Spencer</a>, supra.

REVERSED AND REMANDED.

Windom, P.J., and Burke and Joiner, JJ., concur. Kellum, J., not sitting.