ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

SAMUEL RUDOLPH ROTONDO, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 15-0841 PRPC FILED 10-19-2017

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Navajo County No. S0900CR20061145 The Honorable Robert J. Higgins, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Navajo County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Galen Wilkes Counsel for Respondent

Samuel Rudolph Rotondo, Ely, Nevada *Petitioner*

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Kenton D. Jones, Judge Jon W. Thompson, and Judge Samuel A. Thumma delivered the decision of the Court.

STATE v. ROTONDO Decision of the Court

PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Samuel Rotondo seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is the petitioner's first petition following his conviction by a jury and unsuccessful direct appeal.
- ¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this Court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. State v. Gutierrez, 229 Ariz. 573, 576-77, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion in denying the petition. See State v. Poblete, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011).
- ¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not shown any abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 Accordingly, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA