

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

ROBERT DWIGHT HICKMAN, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 16-0271 PRPC  
FILED 10-19-2017

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County  
No. CR1998-009183  
The Honorable Jose S. Padilla, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Diane Meloche  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Robert Dwight Hickman, Florence  
*Petitioner*

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Kenton D. Jones, Judge Jon W. Thompson, and Judge  
Samuel A. Thumma delivered the decision of the Court.

---

STATE v. HICKMAN  
Decision of the Court

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Robert Hickman seeks review of the superior court's order denying his "Motion for Reconsideration by Justice," filed May 31, 2016, which we treat as a petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is the petitioner's second successive petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this Court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 576-77, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion in denying the petition. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not shown any abuse of discretion.

¶4 Accordingly, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA