# ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

ANDRE ALMOND DENNISON, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 16-0616 PRPC FILED 12-7-2017

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR1997-002770 The Honorable Christopher A. Coury, Judge

## **REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

**COUNSEL** 

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Diane Meloche Counsel for Respondent

Andre Almond Dennison, Florence *Petitioner* 

#### MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop, Judge Diane M. Johnsen and Judge Maria Elena Cruz delivered the decision of the Court.

# STATE v. DENNISON Decision of the Court

### **PER CURIAM:**

- ¶1 Petitioner Andre Almond Dennison seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's fourth petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- $\P 3$  We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA