NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION. UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

ANANT KUMAR TRIPATI, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 16-0675 PRPC FILED 11-7-2017

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR 1992-008576 The Honorable Joan M. Sinclair, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Diane M. Meloche *Counsel for Respondent*

Anant Kumar Tripati, Florence *Petitioner*

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop, Judge Diane M. Johnsen, and Judge Maria Elena Cruz delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Anant Kumar Tripati seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. Petitioner has filed numerous successive petitions.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, **¶** 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, **¶** 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

 $\P 3$ We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA