IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

DANNY JACOBS, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 16-0689 PRPC FILED 12-12-2017

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2013-425900-001 The Honorable Teresa A. Sanders, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

APPEARANCES

Danny Jacobs, Eloy *Petitioner*

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By David R. Cole Counsel for Respondent

STATE v. JACOBS Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge James P. Beene, Judge Randall M. Howe and Judge Kent E. Cattani delivered the following decision.

PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Danny Jacobs seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is Petitioner's first petition for post-conviction relief.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- $\P 3$ We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA