

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

v.

KENNETH MIKEAL DUGAN, JR., *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 16-0809 PRPC
FILED 11-28-2017

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Mohave County
No. S8015CR201400665
The Honorable Steven F. Conn, Judge (retired)

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Mohave County Attorney's Office, Kingman
By Matthew J. Smith
Counsel for Respondent

Kenneth Mikeal Dugan, Jr., Douglas
Petitioner

STATE v. DUGAN
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Paul J. McMurdie, Judge Peter B. Swann and Judge James B. Morse Jr. delivered the following decision.

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Kenneth Mikeal Dugan, Jr. seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is Dugan's first petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find the petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court
FILED: AA