

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

JENGHIZ K. STEWART, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 17-0015 PRPC  
FILED 12-26-2017

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County  
No. CR1996-005461  
The Honorable Justin Beresky, *Judge Pro Tempore*

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Diane Meloche  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Jenghiz K. Stewart, Kingman  
*Petitioner*

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Kenton D. Jones, Judge Jon W. Thompson, and Judge  
Jennifer M. Perkins delivered the decision of the Court.

---

STATE v. STEWART  
Decision of the Court

**PER CURIAM:**

¶1 Petitioner Jenghiz Stewart seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is the petitioner's eleventh successive petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this Court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 576-77, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion in denying the petition. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not shown any abuse of discretion.

¶4 Accordingly, we grant review and deny relief.