# IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

FREDERICK KING, JR., Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 17-0190 PRPC FILED 12-26-2017

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2002-098228 The Honorable Alfred M. Fenzel, *Judge Pro Tempore (Retired)* 

### **REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

**COUNSEL** 

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Diane Meloche Counsel for Respondent

Frederick King, Jr., Douglas *Petitioner* 

#### **MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Kenton D. Jones, Judge Jon W. Thompson, and Judge Jennifer M. Perkins delivered the decision of the Court.

## STATE v. KING Decision of the Court

#### PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Frederick King, Jr. seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. *See also* Ariz. R. Crim. P. 32.3 (directing the trial court to treat a writ of habeas corpus as a petition for post-conviction relief). This is the petitioner's third successive petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this Court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 576-77, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion in denying the petition. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011).
- ¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not shown any abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 Accordingly, we grant review and deny relief.