NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION. UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

## IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

ARTURO AREVALO, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 17-0244 PRPC FILED 1-16-2018

Appeal from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2003-008555-001 The Honorable Karen L. O'Connor, Judge

## **REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Diane Meloche *Counsel for Respondent* 

Arturo Arevalo, Kingman Petitioner

## MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Michael J. Brown, Judge Jennifer B. Campbell and Chief Judge Samuel A. Thumma delivered the decision of the Court.

## PER CURIAM:

**¶1** Petitioner Arturo Arevalo seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's eighth successive petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

 $\P 3$  We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

**¶4** For the foregoing reasons, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA