

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

STEVEN RAMIREZ HERNANDEZ, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 17-0545 PRPC  
FILED 3-13-2018

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County  
No. CR2013-421277-001 SE  
The Honorable Teresa A. Sanders, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Diane M. Meloche  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Steven Ramirez Hernandez, Tucson  
*Petitioner*

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop, Judge Jennifer B. Campbell, and  
Judge Paul J. McMurdie delivered the decision of the Court.

---

STATE v. HERNANDEZ  
Decision of the Court

**PER CURIAM:**

**¶1** Petitioner Steven Ramirez Hernandez seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's fourth successive petition.

**¶2** Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

**¶3** We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

**¶4** We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA