NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION. UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

# IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

ANDRE OMAR POAG, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 17-0688 PRPC FILED 3-29-2018

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2014-149701-001 The Honorable Michael D. Gordon, Judge

#### **REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Diane Meloche *Counsel for Respondent* 

Andre Omar Poag, Florence *Petitioner* 

### MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Diane M. Johnsen, Judge Kent E. Cattani and Judge Jennifer M. Perkins delivered the decision of the Court.

# STATE v. POAG Decision of the Court

# PER CURIAM:

**¶1** Petitioner Andre Omar Poag seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's first petition.

**¶2** Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, **¶** 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, **¶** 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

 $\P 3$  We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

**¶4** For the foregoing reasons, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA