# ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

ARTHUR BLACK, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 17-0793 PRPC FILED 4-17-2018

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County
Nos. CR2007-116233-001 DT
CR2008-007161-001 DT
CR2011-146197-001 SE
The Honorable J. Justin McGuire, Judge *Pro Tempore* 

## REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Diane M. Meloche Counsel for Respondent

Arthur Black, Eloy *Petitioner* 

## STATE v. BLACK Decision of the Court

#### **MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop, Judge Jennifer B. Campbell, and Judge Paul J. McMurdie delivered the decision of the Court.

\_\_\_\_\_

### PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Arthur Black seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's second successive petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- ¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA