ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

SEAN PATRICK RICHEY, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 18-0155 PRPC FILED 7-19-2018

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2014-124936-001 The Honorable Annielaurie Van Wie, Judge *Pro Tempore*

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

APPEARANCES

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Adena J. Astrowsky Counsel for Respondent

Sean Patrick Richey, Phoenix *Petitioner*

STATE v. RICHEY Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge James B. Morse Jr., Judge Kent E. Cattani and Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop delivered the following decision.

PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Sean Patrick Richey seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's first petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- ¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA