

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

ARTURO AREVALO, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 18-0297 PRPC  
FILED 9-13-2018

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County  
No. CR2003-008555-001  
The Honorable Karen L. O'Connor, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Diane Meloche  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Arturo Arevalo, Kingman  
*Petitioner*

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Kenton D. Jones, Judge Michael J. Brown, and Judge Jon  
W. Thompson delivered the decision of the Court.

---

STATE v. AREVALO  
Decision of the Court

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Arturo Arevalo seeks review of the superior court's order dismissing his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is the petitioner's seventh successive petition since he was re-sentenced in 2007.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this Court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 576-77, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion in denying the petition. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that the petitioner has not shown any abuse of discretion.

¶4 Accordingly, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA