

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

SEAN PATRICK WARD, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 18-0438 PRPC  
FILED 8-14-2018

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Mohave County  
No. S8015CR20000347  
The Honorable Richard D. Lambert, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Mohave County Attorney's Office, Kingman  
By Matthew J. Smith  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Sean Patrick Ward, San Luis  
*Petitioner*

STATE v. WARD  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge James B. Morse Jr., Judge Kent E. Cattani and Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop delivered the following decision.

---

**PER CURIAM:**

**¶1** Petitioner Sean Patrick Ward seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's third petition.

**¶2** Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

**¶3** We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

**¶4** We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA