

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

v.

ARTHUR BLACK, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 18-0448 PRPC
FILED 9-11-2018

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County

Nos. CR2007-116233-001

CR2008-007161-001

CR2011-146197-001

The Honorable J. Justin McGuire, Judge *Pro Tempore*

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix

By Diane M. Meloche

Counsel for Respondent

Arthur Black, Eloy

Petitioner

STATE v. BLACK
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge James B. Morse Jr., Judge Kent E. Cattani, and Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Arthur Black seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's third successive petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court
FILED: AA